

CABINET – 13TH FEBRUARY 2020

Report of the Head of Finance and Property Services

Lead Member: Councillor Tom Barkley

Part A

ITEM CAPITAL STRATEGY (INCLUDING THE TREASURY
MANAGEMENT STRATEGY) FOR 2020/21

Purpose of Report

This report introduces the Capital Strategy, which is required under the terms of the 'Prudential Code', a statutory code of practice. The report also sets out the Treasury Management Strategy Statement together with the Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy. These latter strategies and the MRP policy are integral to the overarching Capital Finance Strategy and are therefore presented within a single report for context.

The report also sets out changes to the Council's Financial Regulations and other elements of the Constitution which are considered necessary to enable delivery of the Investment Strategy.

This Cabinet report recommends the approval of the above strategies and proposed amendments to the Constitution to Council.

Finally, in reviewing the overall Capital Strategy it has been identified that a more advantageous method of financing the environmental services fleet may be available, as compared to that set out in the Cabinet report of 13 September 2018 (see 'Environmental Services – Options for delivery from June 2020). This Cabinet report asks that the original decision on fleet financing be amended to allow more flexible approach in this area.

Recommendations

1. That the Capital Strategy, as set out at Appendix A of this report be approved and recommended to Council.
2. That the Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy as shown at Appendix B of this report be approved and recommended to Council.
3. That the Prudential and Treasury Indicators, also set out in within Appendix B of this report be approved and recommended to Council.

4. That the proposed changes to the Constitution, as set out in Appendix C of this report, be approved and recommended to Council, with effect from 1st March 2020.
5. That the Environmental services fleet (due for renewal in June 2020) Cabinet is funded in the most financially advantageous way, having regard to the financial resources available to the Council at that time.

Reasons

1. To enable the Council to comply with the statutory code of practice issued by CIPFA: 'The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities, 2017 Edition'.
2. To ensure that the Council's governance and management procedures for Treasury Management reflect best practice and comply with the CIPFA Treasury Management in the Public Services Code of Practice, Guidance Notes and Treasury Management Policy Statement.
3. To ensure that funding of capital expenditure is taken within the totality of the Council's financial position and that borrowing and investment is only carried out with proper regard to the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities.
4. To enable the efficient and timely execution of the Investment Strategy incorporated within the overall Capital Strategy.
5. To supersede Cabinet Recommendation of 13 September 2018 relating to the financing of the Environmental Services fleet (Minute 29 (4) refers) and allow more advantageous methods of financing the fleet to be adopted.

Policy Justification and Previous Decisions

The Capital Strategy must be approved by Council on an annual basis.

The Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Prudential and Treasury Indicators and Annual Investment Strategy must be approved by Council each year and reviewed half yearly.

The latest version of the Medium Term Financial Strategy (covering financial years 2020 - 2023) outlines the prospective financial challenges facing the Council and the contribution expected of the Investment Strategy in mitigating these challenges.

Implementation Timetable including Future Decisions and Scrutiny

If approved by Council the Capital Strategy (including its component strategies) will come into effect from 1 April 2020.

If approved by Council the changes to the Constitution will come into to effect from the date of the Council meeting being 24 February 2020

This report is available for the consideration of the Scrutiny Commission on 10 February 2020.

Report Implications

The following implications have been identified for this report.

Financial Implications

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

Financial issues arising from the implementation of the strategies are covered within the report.

Risk Management

The risks associated with the decision Cabinet is asked to make and proposed actions to mitigate those risks are set out in the table below.

<i>Risk Identified</i>	<i>Likelihood</i>	<i>Impact</i>	<i>Overall risk</i>	<i>Risk Management actions planned</i>
Poor treasury investment decisions due to inadequate treasury management strategies in place	Unlikely 2	Serious 3	Moderate 6	Strategy developed in accordance with CIPFA guidelines and best practice. Adherence to clearly defined treasury management policies and practices
Loss of council funds through failure of borrowers	Remote 1	Major 4	Low 4	Credit ratings and other information sources used to minimise risk Adherence to clearly defined treasury management policies and practices
Volatile market changes (such as interest rates or sector ratings) occur during year	Possible 3	Significant 2	Moderate 6	Approved strategy in place, regular monitoring of position and use of Treasury Consultants and other sources to provide the latest advice.
Significant losses arising from investments in non-financial instruments (such as loans to third parties or property investments)	Possible 3	Serious 3	Moderate 9	Professional advice will be sought in advance of non-standard or new investment activity. Adherence to strategy which set out limits to investment in individual asset classes.

Key Decision: Yes

Background Papers: Investment Strategy 2019 - 20, Cabinet Report 19 September 2019
Treasury Management mid-year update – Cabinet Report 14 Nov 2019

Officers to contact: Lesley Tansey
Head of Finance and Property Services
(01509) 634828
lesley.tansey@charnwood.gov.uk

Simon Jackson
Strategic Director of Corporate Services
(01509) 634699
simon.jackson@charnwood.gov.uk

Part B

Background

1. The Capital Strategy is a requirement arising from the extant version of the 'Prudential Code'. This code is a statutory code of practice and was published by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA) taking effect from 1 April 2019. It was issued by the Secretary of State under section 15(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 2003. Under that section local authorities are required to 'have regard' to 'such guidance as the Secretary of State may issue'.
2. The Council's treasury management activities also fall within the scope of the Prudential Code.
3. The Capital Strategy forms part of the Council's integrated revenue, capital and balance sheet planning. It sets out the long-term context in which capital expenditure and investment decisions are made, considers risks and rewards and the potential impacts on Council objectives
4. The Capital Strategy is an overarching strategy that encompasses the following aspects:
 - Capital expenditure and governance
 - Capital financing and the borrowing
 - Treasury management investments (essentially financial assets) set out within the Annual Investment Strategy
 - Commercial strategy – investment in non-financial assets (including commercial properties and prospective housing development)
 - Access to knowledge and skills (enabling the strategy to be delivered)
 - Treasury Management policy statement and practices (presented as a separate appendix)
5. The Treasury Management Strategy Statement, incorporating the Annual Investment Strategy, have been prepared in accordance with the revised code and accordingly include:
 - the treasury limits in force which will limit the treasury risk and activities of the council,
 - the Prudential and Treasury Indicators
 - the current treasury position
 - the borrowing requirement

- prospects for interest rates
- the borrowing strategy
- policy on borrowing in advance of need
- debt rescheduling
- the investment strategy
- creditworthiness policy
- the use of external fund managers and treasury advisers
- Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy

Salient features of the proposed Capital Strategy for 2020/21

6. The most recent Medium Term Financial Strategy (due to be approved at the Council meeting of 20 January 2020) includes a transformation and efficiency plan that sets out a range of responses to the likely future financial challenges facing the Council. These include a more proactive approach to treasury management and prospective investments in commercial property. Delivering against this plan requires an update on the existing Capital Strategy as well as associated changes to the Council's Constitution.
7. The principal changes and matters of note proposed within the Strategies and other Appendices to this report are:
 - An amendment to the Annual Investment Strategy to increase counterparty limits on deposits with HSBC (the Council's bankers) to take advantage of an easily accessible term deposit at favourable interest rates (see Appendix B – B3)
 - An amendment to the Annual Investment Strategy to increase counterparty limits on deposits with Money Market Funds to easily deposit short term 1 day notice money (see Appendix B – B3)
 - An amendment to the Annual Investment Strategy to increase the maximum maturity period for local authority investments from 24 to 60 months (see Appendix B – B3)
 - An amendment to the Annual Investment Strategy to add Housing Associations (with adequate credit references) to the list of allowed non-specified investments, again to offer the potential of achieving greater investment returns (see Appendix B – B3)

- Other amendments to the Strategies to allow the development of a commercial property portfolio enabled by total borrowings of up to £25m including:
 - Uplift to the estimates of the Capital Financing Requirement (see Appendix B, section 2.2)
 - Increasing the allowed limits to borrowing and investment activity (see Appendix B, sections 3.2 and 4.4)
 - Implementing a policy on MRP which specifically addresses prospective acquisitions of commercial property (see Appendix B, sections 2.4 and Appendix B (2))
 - Amending the Financial Regulations and other elements of the Council's constitution to enable the Council to take advantage of commercial property opportunities on an efficient and timely basis (see Appendix C)
8. For the purposes of the Capital Strategy and other documents associated with this report it is assumed that the Council will target the development of a commercial property portfolio with the (approximate) acquisition value of £25m over the period to 31 March 2023. However, it should be noted that extant Capital Plans only have approval for commercial property acquisitions of a value up to £10m (notionally split as £5m in 2019/20 and £5m in 2020/21); increasing this amount will therefore require an amendment to the Capital Plan as and when this is considered appropriate.
9. In developing a commercial property portfolio it will be necessary to put detailed arrangements in place, including access to professional skills and resources, and defined processes setting out how individual opportunities will be evaluated and (if appropriate) purchased (or disposed). It may be noted that the intention is to present a further Cabinet report covering these specific matters in forthcoming months.
10. Advice has been obtained from the Council's treasury management advisers in developing the above proposals.
11. As stated in Part A, this report also requests that the Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy together with the Prudential and Treasury Indicators, be approved and recommended to Council.

Financing of the Environmental Services fleet

12. As part of the process of developing the Capital Strategy and assessing future investment plans and borrowing need, the plan to finance the acquisition of the Council's Environmental Services fleet, as reflected in Minute 29 (4) of the Cabinet meeting of 13 September 2018, has been

reviewed. The decision of Cabinet was that the fleet be financed by a '50:50' mix of external and internal borrowing. It remains possible that this precise mix of financing does prove optimal when the fleet has to be purchased (in June 2020) but Cabinet are asked (Part A, Recommendation 5.) to allow flexibility in the mix of financing used and therefore allow the fleet to be financed by the most advantageous method available to the Council.

Appendices

Appendix A: Capital Finance Strategy

Appendix B: Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy for 2019-20

Sub appendices contained within this document:

B (1) Economic background

B (2) Minimum Revenue Provision

B (3) Treasury Management Practice

B (4) Approved countries for investment

B (5) List of approved brokers for investment

B (6) Current investments (snapshot at 6 January 2020)

B (7) Treasury management scheme of delegation

B (8) Treasury management role of the Section 151 Officer

Appendix C: Proposed amendments to the Financial Regulations and other areas of the Constitution



Charnwood Borough Council
Capital Strategy
2020 – 2021

Foreword

The requirement for the Capital Strategy arises from the terms of the 'Prudential Code', a statutory code of practice. This second iteration of our Capital Strategy builds on our initial thinking and develops in more detail some of our plans and aspirations in the areas of capital planning, treasury management, new borrowing, and, in particular, our intention to develop a portfolio of commercial property to help us mitigate the financial challenges outlined in the latest version of our Medium Term Financial Strategy.



In that Medium Term Financial Strategy we outlined the likely financial challenges facing the Council and set out our responses to these within the transformation and efficiency plan that formed part of this document. Our plans include a more proactive approach to treasury management, prospective investments in commercial property and the development of commercial opportunities. We have aspirations to deliver housing through the mechanism of a Housing Development Company in order to meet the ongoing demand for new homes within our Borough and, more generally, to adopt a more proactive approach to commercialism and development. Enabling these initiatives require additional flexibility in the Council's treasury management and borrowing policies which are introduced within the Capital Strategy and associated Treasury Management Strategy.

This version of the Capital Strategy outlines important changes, in particular the anticipated use of prudential borrowing to support commercial investment and a more financially advantageous approach to refreshing the environmental services fleet. Security and liquidity will remain as key elements of the Council's treasury management approach, and we will always want to be prudent in the way that we manage our finances, but the anticipated challenges ahead point us towards a more proactive approach in the use of our financial assets.

Councillor Tom Barkley

Cabinet Lead Member for Finance & Property

February 2020

CAPITAL STRATEGY (INCLUDING TREASURY MANAGEMENT)

The purpose of the Capital Strategy is to demonstrate that the Council takes capital expenditure and investment decisions in line with service objectives and properly takes account of stewardship, value for money, prudence, sustainability and affordability. It sets out the long term context in which capital expenditure and investment decisions are made and gives due consideration to both risk and reward and impact on the achievement of priority outcomes. The Capital Strategy comprises a number of distinct, but inter-related, elements as follows:

1. **Capital expenditure**; which includes an overview of the governance process for approval and monitoring of capital expenditure, including the Council's policies on capitalisation, and an overview of its capital expenditure and financing plans.
2. **Capital financing and borrowing**; provides a projection of the Council's capital financing requirement, how this will be funded and repaid. It therefore sets out the Council's borrowing strategy and explains how it will make prudent revenue provision for the repayment of debt should any borrowing be required.
3. **Treasury management investments**; explains the Council's approach to treasury management investment activities, including the criteria for determining how and where funds will be invested to ensure that the principal sums are safeguarded from loss and that sufficient liquidity is maintained to ensure that funds are available when needed.
4. **Commercial investments**; provides an overview of those of the Council's current and any potential commercial investment activities that count as capital expenditure, including processes, due diligence and defining the Council's risk appetite in respect of these, including proportionality in respect of overall resources.
5. **Knowledge and skills**; summarises the knowledge and skills available to the Council and provides confirmation that these are commensurate with the Council's risk appetite. Further details are provided in the following sections.
6. (Appendix B). **Treasury management policy statement and practices**; this is presented separately; it updates to the Council's Treasury Management Policy Statement and to its Treasury Management Practices. These set out the Council's policies, objectives and approach to risk management of its treasury management activities, and the manner in which it seeks to achieve its policies and objectives for treasury management.

1. Capital expenditure

Capitalisation policies

1. Capital expenditure involves acquiring or enhancing non-current assets with a long-term value to the Council, such as land, buildings, and major items of plant and equipment or vehicles, as well as the contribution or payments of grants to others to be used to fund capital expenditure. Capital assets shape the way services are delivered for the long term and may create financial commitments for the future in the form of financing costs and revenue running costs. Subsequent expenditure on existing assets is also classified as capital expenditure if these two criteria below are met.
2. Expenditure is classified as capital expenditure when the resulting asset:
 - Will be held for use in the delivery of services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and
 - Is of continuing benefit to the Council for a period extending beyond one financial year.
3. There may be instances where expenditure does not meet this definition, but would nevertheless be treated as capital expenditure. This is known as 'Capitalisation' and it is the means by which the Government, exceptionally, permits local authorities to treat revenue costs as capital costs. It allows exceptional revenue costs, that should be met from revenue resources to be treated as capital expenditure. Permission is given through capitalisation directions, which the Secretary of State can issue under section 16(2)(b) of the Local Government Act 2003.
4. The Council operates a de-minimis limit of £10,000 for capital expenditure. This means that items below this limit are charged to revenue rather than capital.

Governance

5. A three year Capital Plan is prepared by officers and approved by Council. Potential schemes are identified by Officers, in conjunction with Cabinet members, and supported by a Capital Application form. Following a process of review by senior officers a report is prepared for Cabinet with recommendations as to which schemes to include in the Plan, how the Plan would be funded and other elements such as risk and compliance with the Prudential Code.
6. Once adopted the three year Capital Plan is formally reviewed by Cabinet at the end of year two when Heads of Service are asked to submit proposals for the following three years. 'Year three' of the current plan would then become 'year one' of the new plan.
7. New schemes can only be added outside of this procedure where they are in substitution of existing schemes or have a separate source of funding so that the actual total level of the Plan would not increase.

8. All schemes of £50,000 in value or greater require Capital Appraisal and all procurement and contracting must adhere to the Contract Procedure Rules. The Section 151 Officer¹ makes recommendations to Cabinet as to whether funding should be released to allow new schemes to be included in the Capital Plan.
9. After the end of the financial year an outturn report detailing the total amount of capital expenditure incurred during the year is submitted to Cabinet by the Section 151 Officer.
10. Prior to the closure of the Council's accounts a report detailing the proposed method of funding the capital expenditure incurred is submitted to Cabinet by the Section 151 Officer as required by the Local Government & Housing Act 1989.

Current Capital Plan

11. The Council has a policy of preparing a three year Capital Plan, and then refreshing this every other year. Due to timings, there are at the time of drafting this strategy essentially two Capital Plans in existence. The first covering the financial years 2018/19 - 2020/21, was originally approved by Council on 26 February 2018 with the latest amendments approved by Cabinet at its meeting of 16 December 2019. There is also a 'new' capital plan covering financial years 2020/21 – 2022/23 which is due for approval by Council on 24 February 2020.
12. Assuming approval of the latter plan by Council, the Plans will be merged to create to single Plan from 1 April 2020.
13. In totality, in the period 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2023, capital expenditure is planned as follows:

General Fund	£33m
HRA	£29m

Amounts within the General Fund include £4.8m that is required to finance the purchase of the Environmental Services Fleet and £25m for the creation of a commercial property portfolio.

14. The Capital Plan is funded by a combination of the following sources:

Capital grants and contributions - amounts awarded to the Council in return for past or future compliance with certain stipulations.

Capital receipts – amounts generated from the sale of assets and from the repayment of capital loans, grants or other financial assistance.

Revenue contributions – amounts set aside from the revenue budget.

¹ The Council's statutory Finance Officer appointed under section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972; also known as the Chief Financial Officer

Prudential borrowing - In addition to the above the Council also has the option to borrow to fund capital expenditure. At this point in time the Council has not taken any borrowing to fund General Fund capital expenditure but some level of borrowing will now be required if the Council is to deliver its Capital Plan within the projected timescales.

15. The Council has taken out borrowing to fund the purchase of its housing stock (held within the Housing Revenue Account) from the Government under the 2012 Self-Financing Regime. This totals £79m.
16. Borrowing allows the Council to defer the funding of its capital expenditure so that it does not need to fund immediately from cash resources, but instead charges to the revenue budget over a number of years into the future.
17. The implications of financing capital expenditure from 'borrowing' are explained later on in Treasury Management Investments.

2. Capital Financing Requirement and borrowing

18. The Council is required by regulation to comply with the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (referred to as the 'Prudential Code') when assessing the affordability, prudence and sustainability of its capital investment plans. Fundamental to the prudential framework is a requirement to set a series of prudential indicators. These indicators are intended to collectively build a picture that demonstrates the impact over time of the Council's capital expenditure plans upon the revenue budget and upon borrowing and investment levels, and explain the overall controls that will ensure that the activity remains affordable, prudent and sustainable.
19. As referenced in the previous section, the Council's capital expenditure plans mean that it is highly likely that the Council will need to finance this expenditure using prudential borrowing. This is an important departure from historical practice and the implications of this approach are set out within Appendix B of this document set which details with prudential borrowing within the overall context of the Council's Capital Financing Requirement.
20. The full details of the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) position and the limits that have been set for borrowing and all the associated prudential indicators are provided In the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (Appendix B).

3. Treasury management investment

21. The Treasury Management Code and statutory regulations require the Council to prepare an annual strategy that explains how the Council will invest its funds, giving priority to security and liquidity, and then to yield. This Annual Investment Strategy is set out in full in the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (Appendix B).

22. The Council's Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) covers 'specified investments' and loans to other local authorities. The policies are designed to comply with the Statutory Guidance on Local Government Investments ('the Guidance'), effective from 1 April 2018. The Council manages treasury operations in line with its TMSS, which in turn is in accordance with the guidance. The Council is required to review the TMSS on an annual basis.
23. The Guidance defines in detail what criteria an investment would meet to be categorised as 'specified'. One of the criteria of specified investments is that the local authority has a contractual right to repayment within 12 months. Certain loans to other local authorities made by the Council have a term of up to two years (with an intention to increase the allowed maximum to five years), so do not fall strictly within the definition. However, the Council considers that management of this type of financial instrument should fall within the ambit of the TMSS.

CHANGES TO THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY FOR 2020/21

24. Interest rates are at historically low levels and are expected to remain so for several months ahead. In a continuation of the current direction, in which the Council has sought to increase returns from its treasury management activities.
25. Assuming an average fund under management of £50m, an increase in return by an average of 0.1%, this would generate additional income of £50,000 per annum.
26. Given the above the following amendments have been made to the TMSS:
 - An amendment to increase counterparty limits on deposits with HSBC (the Council's bankers) to take advantage of an easily accessible term deposit at favourable interest rates (see Appendix B – B3)
 - An amendment to the Annual Investment Strategy to increase counterparty limits on deposits with Money Market Funds to easily deposit short term one day notice money (see Appendix B – B3)
 - An amendment to increase the maximum maturity period for local authority investments from 24 to 60 months (see Appendix B – B3)
 - An amendment to add Housing Associations (with adequate credit references) to the list of allowed non-specified investments, again to offer the potential of achieving greater investment returns (see Appendix B – B3).

4. Commercial investments

27. The prolonged low interest rate environment has meant that treasury management investments have not generated significant returns. However, the introduction of the general power of competence has given local authorities far more flexibility in the types of activity they can engage in. These changes in the economic and regulatory landscape, combined with significant financial

challenges, have led many authorities to consider different and more innovative types of investment.

28. CIPFA has issued an update to its Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes (the Treasury Management Code). One of the main changes introduced by the new Code is to require authorities to incorporate all of the financial and non-financial assets held for financial return in authorities' annual capital strategies.
29. Separately, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government has issued Statutory Guidance on Local Government Investments under section 15(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 2003 and effective for financial years commencing on or after 1 April 2018.
30. As is the case for treasury activities, commercial investment should balance:
 - Security – to protect the capital sums invested from loss
 - Liquidity – ensuring the funds invested are available for expenditure when needed
 - Returns – ensuring that the Council's investment ability is used effectively.
31. At present commercial investments are primarily undertaken by the Council in order to generate income to support the delivery of a balanced budget. Such investments are only entered following a full assessment of the risks and having secured expert external advice (i.e. where it is relevant to do so).
32. Commercial investment may be defined quite widely and could include, for example:
 - Commercial property investment held solely for the purposes of generating a financial return
 - Investments in wholly owned companies and joint ventures (which may be in the form of equity or loans)
 - Wider scale and more ambitious regeneration projects
 - Ad-hoc complex investments
33. The Statutory Guidance describes non-financial investment as being in non-financial assets held primarily or partially to generate a profit. Usually it will be expected that the underlying asset could be 'realised' to recoup the capital invested.
34. There are important aspects of financial reporting that Council's must be aware of. In terms of reporting it is necessary to state whether:

- The fair value of non-financial investments is sufficient to provide security against losses, and that the underlying assets provide adequate security for the originating capital investment
 - Where the fair value is insufficient detail of mitigating actions should be provided to protect the capital invested
 - Additionally, where the fair value assessment recognises a loss in the non-financial investment the subsequent Capital Strategy will need to reflect the impact of loss of security and the associated revenue consequences.
35. Fair value accounting in this context is covered by International Financial Reporting Standard 9, as modified by a five-year statutory override applicable to local authorities (covering financial years from 2018/19). The implication of the override is that if a local authority recognises a loss on investment then this will not impact on the general fund, or, therefore, on an authority's ability to set its budget. However, the override is (currently) time limited and a major downturn in the value of specific assets, or the property market generally, represents a clear risk in future financial periods.
36. The following paragraphs outline options open to the Council and sets out the strategic approach the Council intends to adopt in this area.

Commercial Investment properties

37. The Council already owns land and buildings that have been acquired for capital appreciation and/or solely to earn rentals, rather than for the supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes. Such assets are classified as investment properties (unless they are acquired as the outcome of a regeneration priority).
38. In considering its approach to investment properties the Council has to consider the application of parameters including:
- Maximum and minimum cost of prospective acquisitions
 - The maximum proportion of the Council's investment assets that should be held in the form of investment properties
 - The balance of property assets held with different sectors of the market
 - Possible geographical limits on prospective acquisitions
 - Whether properties are acquired purely on commercial grounds or whether other policy objectives, such as regeneration, should also be taken into account
 - The required rental yield from properties held for investment
39. In the context of the Capital Strategy, the Council is planning to use capital to invest in property to produce a revenue return to sustain the delivery of key

services for the Borough's residents. This capital will, where available, be in the form of capital receipts and/or prudential borrowing.

40. Outlined below is the Commercial Property Investment Strategy which proposes a formal approach to invest in property that provides a positive surplus and financial return. This is achieved by buying property that has a tenant who pays rent to the owner of the property – the landlord. The tenant needs to be of good financial standing and the property and lease must meet certain standards such as being in a commercially popular location and have a number of years left on the lease providing a certain and contractually secure rental income into the future.
41. The Council may fund the purchase of the property by borrowing money – potentially from the Public Works Loans Board (funded by the Central Government). As the number of acquisitions increases purchases financed by borrowing can be expected. The rental income paid by the tenant must exceed the cost of repaying the borrowed money each year. The annual surplus then supports the Council's budget position, and enables the Council to continue to provide services for local people.
42. Historically, property has proved to be one of, if not the best, investment in terms of capital growth over the last 50 years. If the Council owns the property for 20 years plus, and the property is managed and maintained appropriately, the Council should expect to see an increase in the value of the property as well as a net annual surplus of revenue.
43. Local authorities have very wide powers to acquire, sell, appropriate and develop land, such that it is rare to need to use the powers in the Localism Act 2011. Specific property related powers are very wide and include the following:
 - a. Sections 120 to 123 of the Local Government Act 1972
 - b. Section 227, Town and Country Planning Act 1990
 - c. Section 233, Town and Country Planning Act 1990
 - d. Local Authorities (Land) Act 1963 (development)
 - e. Housing Act 1985
 - f. Sections 24-26 Local Government Act 1988
44. There are various powers that would usually be sufficient for the Council to undertake any property acquisition, sale or related project in its area where at least part of the motivation is connected with the broad benefit or improvement of its area, as it is in the case of this strategy.
45. Section 120 of the Local Government Act 1972 to acquire land (inside or outside of their area) for the purposes of any of their functions, and then this gives us the power to borrow as contained in Section 1 of the Local Government Act 2003 - A local authority is empowered to borrow money for any purpose relevant to its functions under any enactment.
46. Each acquisition will be evaluated on its merits to consider the relevant purpose(s), legal powers, financial powers and any other implications. It is

planned that the details of the Council's evaluation process will be set out in Cabinet report prior to any property acquisitions being undertaken.

47. The strategy for 2020/21 is set out below:

STRATEGY FOR 2020/21 - INVESTMENT IN COMMERCIAL PROPERTY

An amount of £10m to expand the Council's commercial property portfolio has been added to the forthcoming capital plan. Looking at the financial challenges outlined within the latest version of the MTFs it is envisaged that the portfolio will grow to around £25m, with an additional £10m being added to the capital plan in 2021/22 and a further £5m in 2022/23.

This investment will be purely to generate investment returns to address the financial challenges outlined in the MTFs and to protect/support service delivery to residents.

No specific minimum or maximum will be applied to any single property investment, to avoid restricting the Council's actions should financially advantageous opportunities present themselves. However, it is envisaged that acquisitions, at least initially, will be in the range of £1m - £5m. It should also be noted that availability of funds set aside in the capital plan provide a natural limit on the cost of acquisitions.

The minimum gross yield acceptable will be based on extant commercial yields and informed by professional advice:

- Default minimum yield would be in line with benchmark commercial property yields (currently around 7%)
- After allowing for interest payments and MRP charge the target net return will normally be 3.5%
- In certain circumstances, a minimum net yield less than 3.5% may be acceptable and advantageous to the Council, particularly where risk balancing may be required. In the instance that a net yield less than 3.5% is achieved, the rationale for the departure will be clearly explained in the accompanying business case.

Generally, property acquisitions will be located outside of the Borough; this will allow the Council to act in the same way as a commercial landlord and not allow returns to be compromised by local non-commercial considerations.

Reserves will be created out of rental income to allow for the impact of:

- MRP requirements
- Allowance for void rental periods and landlord repair obligations

After creation of reserves, and taking account of actual or notional² borrowing costs, the target net yield expected on individual acquisitions, as noted above, will be 3.5% (based on current market conditions).

Appropriate independent professional advice will be sought for each property acquisition.

In order to act in a timely manner the Council's governance rules will be amended to allow more rapid decision making; details are set out at Appendix C of the Capital Strategy report.

At present it will be assumed that this funding is phased as an initial £10m to 31 March 2021, with a further £10m in 2021/22 and £5m in 2022/23.

Loans to local enterprises and third parties

48. Loans to local enterprises or partner public sector bodies could be considered, as part of a wider strategy for local economic growth, even though they may not

² In evaluating prospective acquisitions it will be assumed that borrowing costs will be incurred (whether or not borrowing is required); this is to allow like for like evaluation of acquisitions independent of financing.

- Unsecured loan £2m

The maximum total value of unsecured loans will be £4m.

Loans will be offered on a commercial basis with rates offered dependent on risk; unsecured loans will attract higher interest rates. Rates offered will be in accordance with independent professional advice

Due diligence will be carried out on prospective debtor organisations.

Generally, independent professional advice will be taken to ensure that the structure of loan finance offered, and the risk and return associated with that structure is appropriate.

Support for Subsidiaries

52. The Council does not currently have any wholly owned local trading or housing companies. Should the Council decide to form a subsidiary then Council could decide to provide the funding required to support these organisations. As with providing loans to local enterprises and third parties there would need to be a set of criteria drawn up which would need to be met before any loan was given. This would mitigate the risk of loss to the Council.
53. However, the Council is actively considering the creation of a Housing Development Company. It may be appropriate to invest directly in the equity of a Housing Development Company, rather than in the form of a loan, as described above. The basis of investment will be equivalent, as described below.

STRATEGY FOR 2020/21 - INVESTMENT IN A HOUSING DEVELOPMENT COMPANY

An amount of £10m to fund the HDC may be allocated within the capital plan – but no funding is allocated at present.

This funding *may* be in the form of an equity investment in the HDC, upon which dividends or and / or management fees will be due to the Council.

Pro tem it will be assumed that this funding is phased £5m in 2021/22 and £5m in 2022/23.

It is assumed that this funding be financed through Council borrowing, as and when investment is required.

At minimum, dividends and management fees will cover all of the Council's borrowing costs, in cases where the subsidiary company is wholly owned by the Council.

At minimum dividends and management fees will cover all of the Council's borrowing costs, plus a margin of in cases where a subsidiary company or joint venture is only partially owned by the Council.

Professional advice will be taken to ensure:

- Anyt loans are structured in the most advantageous way, having regard to risk, prospective returns, and tax implications
- MRP can be avoided or mitigated through the loan structure

Appropriate due diligence will be carried out on prospective partner organisations.

In total, the maximum investment in a HDC, whether by loan or equity investment, will be an amount of £10m.

Other commercial investments

54. Investment in other types of asset, or in larger and more complex arrangements, is not considered within this iteration of the Commercial Investment strategy. In practice, should opportunities arise, the Commercial Investment and Capital Strategies could be amended, subject to the approval of full Council, to allow emerging opportunities to be exploited. It can also be assumed that any significant investment would be subject to the specific approval by Cabinet.

5. Knowledge and Skills

55. The Council recognises the importance of ensuring that all officers involved in the treasury management function (including commercial investment activities) are fully equipped to undertake the duties and responsibilities allocated to them. The Strategic Director for Corporate Services is responsible for recommending and implementing the necessary arrangements and does this by:

- Appointing individuals who are capable and experienced.
- Providing training and technical guidance to all individuals involved in the delivery of the treasury management function to enable them to acquire and maintain an appropriate level of expertise, knowledge and skills to undertake the duties and responsibilities allocated to them.
- Appointing a treasury management advisor and other professional advisors when required. This ensures that the individuals involved in delivery of the Council's treasury management activities have access to specialist skills and resources. In addition, professional advisors are employed as required to ensure that the Council has access to the specialist skills and resources necessary to undertake commercial investment activities.

56. Treasury management advisors - The Council employs Link Asset Services (Treasury Solutions) to provide it with treasury management advice. The services provided by Link Asset Services (Treasury Solutions) include advice on treasury matters and capital finance issues, economic and interest rate analysis and creditworthiness information. Notwithstanding this, the final decision on all treasury matters remains vested with the Council. The services received from Link Asset Services (Treasury Solutions) are subject to regular review, including through periodic re-tendering.

6. Treasury management Policy Statement and Treasury Management Practices

57. The Council's Treasury Management Policy Statement and its Treasury Management Practices have been updated to reflect the requirements of the updated Treasury Management Code. They are presented for approval in the Treasury Management Strategy (Appendix B).

Charnwood Borough Council

Treasury Management Strategy Statement

Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement
and Annual Investment Strategy

2020/21

Including Commercial activities/non treasury activities

INDEX

Section		Page no.
1	INTRODUCTION	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Reporting requirements	3
1.3	Treasury Management Strategy for 20120/21	4
1.4	Training	4
1.5	Treasury management consultants	5
2	THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2019/20 – 2022/23	5
2.1	Capital expenditure	5
2.2	The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)	6
2.3	Core Funds and Expected investment balances	7
2.4	Minimum revenue position (MRP) policy statement	7
3	BORROWING	8
3.1	Current portfolio position	8
3.2	Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity	9
3.3	Prospects for interest rates	10
3.4	Investment and borrowing rates	11
3.5	Borrowing strategy	11
3.6	Policy on borrowing in advance of need	12
3.7	Debt rescheduling	12
3.8	Municipal Bond Agency	13
4	ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY	13
4.1	Investment policy	13
4.2	Creditworthiness policy	15
4.3	Country limits	17
4.4	Investment strategy	17
4.5	Investment risk benchmarking	18
4.6	End of year investment report	18
	APPENDICES	
12B (1)	Economic Background	20
12B (2)	Minimum Revenue Provision Policy	26
12B (3)	Treasury Management Practice (TMP1) – Credit and Counterparty Risk Management	28
12B (4)	Approved countries for investments	30
12B (5)	List of approved brokers for investments	31
12B (6)	Current investments as at 6 th January 2020	32
12B (7)	Treasury management scheme of delegation	33
12B (8)	The treasury management role of the section 151 officer	34

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that the cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in generally low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's risk appetite, ensuring the provision of adequate liquidity (cash balances) initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning, to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This longer term cash management may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. When prudent and economic any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.

Whilst any commercial initiatives or loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities, (arising usually from capital expenditure), and are separate from the day to day treasury management activities.

CIPFA defines treasury management as:

“The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”

1.2 Reporting requirements

1.2.1 Capital Strategy

The CIPFA 2017 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes (and subsequent releases) requires all local authorities to prepare a capital strategy report, which provides the following:

- a high-level long term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed
- the implications for future financial sustainability

The aim of this capital strategy is to ensure that all elected members on the full council fully understand the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting capital strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite.

This capital strategy is reported separately from the Treasury Management Strategy Statement; non-treasury investments will be reported through the former. This ensures the separation of the core treasury function under security, liquidity and yield principles, and the policy and commercialism investments usually driven by expenditure on an asset. The capital strategy will show:

- The corporate governance arrangements for these types of activities;
- Any service objectives relating to the investments;
- The expected income, costs and resulting contribution;
- The debt related to the activity and the associated interest costs;
- The payback period (MRP policy);
- For non-loan type investments, the cost against the current market value;
- The risks associated with each activity.

Where a physical asset is being bought, details of market research, advisers used, (and their monitoring), ongoing costs and investment requirements and any credit information will be disclosed, including the ability to sell the asset and realise the investment cash.

Where the Council has borrowed to fund any non-financial investment, there should also be an explanation of why borrowing was required and why the borrowing is justified in the light of MHCLG Investment Guidance and the CIPFA Prudential Code.

If any non-financial investment sustains a loss during in a financial year, the strategy and revenue implications will be reported through the same procedure as the capital strategy.

To demonstrate the proportionality between the treasury operations and the non-treasury operation, high-level comparators are shown throughout this report.

1.2.2 Treasury Management reporting

The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main treasury reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

- a) Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) - The first and most important report covers:
- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
 - a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
 - the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
 - an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).
- b) A mid-year treasury management report** – This will update members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.
- c) An annual treasury report** – This provides details of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

Scrutiny

The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by the Audit Committee and the reports are also available for consideration by the Scrutiny Commission.

1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2020/21

The strategy for 2020/21 covers two main areas:

Capital issues

- Capital plans and prudential indicators;
- Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

Treasury management issues

- current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, MHCLG MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and MHCLG Investment Guidance.

1.4 Training

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to members responsible for scrutiny. Suitable training is provided for members on a periodic basis as part of the wider Member training programme. Officers are also available to train and advise members on an ad hoc basis outside of this programme if required. The training needs of

treasury management officers are reviewed annually as part of the Personal Review process

1.5 Treasury management consultants

The Council uses Link Asset Services Treasury Solutions as its external treasury management advisors. The council recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon the service of our external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with regards to all available information, but not solely, our treasury advisers.

It also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to access specialist skills and resources. Officers will ensure that the terms of appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

The scope of investments within the Council’s operations may include both conventional treasury investments, (the placing of residual cash from the Council’s functions), and more commercial type investments, such as investment properties in the future. The commercial type investments require specialist advisers, and the Council would appoint suitably qualified specialist advisers in relation to this activity when required.

2. THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2021/22-2022/23

The Council’s capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members’ overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

2.1 Capital expenditure

The Council’s capital expenditure plans are the key driver of Treasury Management activity. This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council’s capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital expenditure	2018/19 Actual £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000	2022/23 Estimate £'0003
General Fund	2,985	5,739	7,507	3,677	2,727
HRA	6,086	9,094	7,646	7,381	7,724
Commercial Investments	0	5,000	5,000	10,000	5,000
Total	9,071	19,833	20,153	21,058	15,451

The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

Financing of capital Expenditure	2018/19 Actual £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000	2022/23 Estimate £'000
Total Capital Expenditure as per above table	9,071	19,833	20,153	21,058	15,451
Financed by:					
Capital receipts	1,359	2,770	2,633	2,936	2,006
Capital grants	1,264	2,909	390	1,191	1,171
Capital reserves	1,732	5,495	3,189	3,189	3,189
Revenue Contributions	4,716	3,659	4,141	3,742	4,085
Net financing need internal/external borrowing	0	5,000	9,800	10,000	5,000
Total Funding	9,071	19,833	20,153	21,058	15,451

2.2 The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). This is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR will not increase indefinitely if expenditure is funded by borrowing, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the borrowing need in line with each asset's life, and so charges the economic consumption of capital assets as they are used.

It should be noted that the Council has only taken borrowing to fund the HRA Self-financing to date, however a Cabinet report of 13 September 2018 approved the purchase of fleet of vehicles in 2020 of £4.8m that may be wholly or partially funded by borrowing. The funding options will be reviewed further prior to purchase. Also, an Investment Strategy report taken to Cabinet on 19 September 2019 projected borrowing of £10m for commercial property investment in 2019/20 and 2020/21, which means that the CFR will then increase (Net of MRP Charge). In anticipation of an amendment to the Capital Plan a further £10m has been assumed for commercial property investment for 2021/22 with a further £5m assumed for 2022/23. The resultant CFR projections are set out in the table below.

	2018/19 Actual £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000	2022/23 Estimate £'000
Capital Financing Requirement					
CFR – General Fund - Fleet	0	0	4,800	4,200	3,600
CFR – HRA	81,820	81,820	81,820	81,820	81,820
CFR – Commercial Activities		5,000	9,934	19,799	24,528
Total CFR	81,820	86,820	96,554	105,819	109,948
Movement in CFR	0	5,000	9,734	9,265	4,129
Movement in CFR represented by					
Net financing need as per 2.1 for the year (above)	0	5,000	9,800	10,000	5,000
Less MRP and other financing movements	0	0	(66)	(735)	(871)
Movement in CFR	0	5,000	9,734	9,265	4,129

2.3 Core Funds and Expected investment balances

The application of resources (capital receipts, Capital Reserves, Revenue Contributions to Capital, Capital Grants) to finance Capital expenditure will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year by new resources (assets sales, grants etc). Detailed below are estimates of the year end balances held for each resource.

The current three year Capital plan runs through to 2020/21 and a new three year Capital plan starts in 2020/21 running to 2022/23¹. Funding for this capital expenditure plus the additional £15m funding it is anticipated will be allocated for commercial property investment is funded as per table above in 2.1. Any additional proposals for capital expenditure will require a capital appraisal and business plan to be considered by Senior Leadership Team and Cabinet approval. The funding position is regularly reviewed and if there is a need to borrow, this will require a further appraisal and a revision to the Capital programme and the Treasury Management Strategy in year it will be brought back to full Council for approval.

2.4 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (Voluntary Revenue Provision - VRP).

¹ The plans will be merged once the new Capital Plan has been approved by Council

MHCLG regulations have been issued which require the full Council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision.

There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made (although there are transitional arrangements in place).

MRP Overpayments - A change introduced by the revised MHCLG MRP Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum revenue provision (MRP), VRP or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for these sums to be reclaimed for use in the budget, this policy must disclose the cumulative overpayment made each year. Up until the 31 March 2020 the total VRP and overpayments were £0m.

The Council has for the General Fund a CFR requirement and therefore will need to make a MRP provision. As the Council is likely to fund capital expenditure from borrowing in the near future and as there is a statutory requirement to have an approved MRP Statement in place in advance for each year, an MRP policy has been included in this Treasury Management Strategy as Appendix B(2). Council is asked to adopt and approve the MRP policy statement.

3 BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the capital expenditure of the Council over the next 3 years. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the management of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury/prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

3.1 Current portfolio position

One of the key indicators is that the Council's gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2020/21 and the following two financial years. This is to ensure that the Council conducts its activities within well-defined limits. Also the indicator allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes or speculative purpose.

The table below shows the forward projections for external debt against the underlying need to finance capital expenditure through borrowing or other long term liabilities, i.e. the CFR, highlighting any over or under borrowing.

	2018/19 Actual £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000	2022/23 Estimate £'000
External Debt at 1 April	81,190	81,190	86,190	95,990	105,990
Expected change in Debt	0	5,000	9,800	10,000	5,000
Actual debt at 31 March	81,190	86,190	95,990	105,990	110,990
Capital Financing Requirement	81,820	86,820	96,620	106,620	111,620
Under/(over) borrowing	630	630	630	630	630

The table shows that the Council has complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments and existing plans. Within the above figures there is £25m debt that relates to new commercial activities and non-financial investment.

Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2020/21 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

The Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this report.

3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

The operational boundary.

This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000	2022/23 Estimate £'000
Debt	81,190	81,190	81,190	81,190
Commercial Activities/Non-financial investments	15,000	15,000	25,000	30,000
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	96,190	96,190	106,190	111,190

The authorised limit for external debt.

A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

This is the statutory limit determined under section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised

It should be noted that the authorised limit (as shown in the table below) has been set based on the current capital expenditure and funding plans. The Council has decided to take forward commercial investment plans as part of the investment Strategy report to Cabinet on 19th September and following this the authorisation limits have increased by £15m, these were recommended to Council as part of the 14th November Treasury Management Mid year cabinet report, and it is also recommended that the limits increase further to cover projected additional borrowing in 2021/22 of £10m and £5m in 2022/23.

The authorised limit will be amended as follows (assuming the Capital Strategy is approved by Council) :

Authorised limit	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000	2022/23 Estimate £'000
Debt	96,000	96,000	96,000	96,000
Commercial Activities/Non-financial investments	15,000	15,000	25,000	30,000
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	111,000	111,000	121,000	126,000

In October 2018 the Government published the “Limit of Indebtedness (Revocation) Determination 2018”. This removed the HRA debt cap which was £88,770k. This means that the HRA is able to determine its own level of borrowing in alignment with prudential guidelines. This means that it can borrow providing it can demonstrate that the interest and loan repayments are affordable, within the overall HRA.

3.3 Prospects for interest rates

The Council has appointed Link Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives our central view.

Link Asset Services Interest Rate View														
	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Mar-23
Bank Rate View	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.25	1.25	1.25
3 Month LIBID	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
6 Month LIBID	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.90	1.00	1.10	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.40	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
12 Month LIBID	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.10	1.20	1.30	1.30	1.40	1.50	1.60	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70
5yr PWLB Rate	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.60	2.70	2.80	2.90	2.90	3.00	3.10	3.20	3.20
10yr PWLB Rate	2.60	2.70	2.70	2.70	2.80	2.90	3.00	3.10	3.20	3.20	3.30	3.30	3.40	3.50
25yr PWLB Rate	3.20	3.30	3.40	3.40	3.50	3.60	3.70	3.70	3.80	3.90	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.10
50yr PWLB Rate	3.10	3.20	3.30	3.30	3.40	3.50	3.60	3.60	3.70	3.80	3.90	3.90	4.00	4.00

The above forecasts have been based on an assumption that there is an agreed deal on Brexit, including agreement on the terms of trade between the UK and EU, at some point in time. The result of the general election has removed much uncertainty around this major assumption. However, it does not remove uncertainty around whether agreement can be reached with the EU on a trade deal within the short time to December 2020, as the prime minister has pledged.

It has been little surprise that the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) has left Bank Rate unchanged at 0.75% so far in 2019 due to the ongoing uncertainty over Brexit and the outcome of the general election. In its meeting on 7 November, the MPC became more dovish due to increased concerns over the outlook for the domestic economy if Brexit uncertainties were to become more entrenched, and for weak global economic growth: if those uncertainties were to materialise, then the MPC were likely to cut Bank Rate. However, if they were both to dissipate, then rates would need to rise at a “gradual pace and to a limited extent”. Brexit uncertainty has had a dampening effect on UK GDP growth in 2019, especially around mid-year. There is still some residual risk that the MPC could cut Bank Rate as the UK economy is still likely to only grow weakly in 2020 due to continuing uncertainty over whether there could effectively be a no deal Brexit in December 2020 if agreement on a trade deal is not reached with the EU. Until that major uncertainty is removed, or the period for agreeing a deal is extended, it is unlikely that the MPC would raise Bank Rate.

Bond yields / PWLB rates. There has been much speculation during 2019 that the bond market has gone into a bubble, as evidenced by high bond prices and remarkably low yields. However, given the context that there have been heightened expectations that the US was heading for a recession in 2020, and a general

background of a downturn in world economic growth, together with inflation generally at low levels in most countries and expected to remain subdued, conditions are ripe for low bond yields. While inflation targeting by the major central banks has been successful over the last thirty years in lowering inflation expectations, the real equilibrium rate for central rates has fallen considerably due to the high level of borrowing by consumers: this means that central banks do not need to raise rates as much now to have a major impact on consumer spending, inflation, etc. This has pulled down the overall level of interest rates and bond yields in financial markets over the last thirty years. We have therefore seen over the last year, many bond yields up to ten years in the Eurozone actually turn negative. In addition, there has, at times, been an inversion of bond yields in the US whereby ten-year yields have fallen below shorter-term yields. In the past, this has been a precursor of a recession. The other side of this coin is that bond prices are elevated, as investors would be expected to be moving out of riskier assets i.e. shares, in anticipation of a downturn in corporate earnings and so selling out of equities. However, stock markets are also currently at high levels as some investors have focused on chasing returns in the context of dismal ultra-low interest rates on cash deposits.

During the first half of 2019-20 to 30 September, gilt yields plunged and caused a near halving of longer term PWLB rates to completely unprecedented historic low levels. (*See paragraph 3.7 for comments on the increase in the PWLB rates margin over gilt yields of 100bps introduced on 9.10.19.*) There is though, an expectation that financial markets have gone too far in their fears about the degree of the downturn in US and world growth. If, as expected, the US only suffers a mild downturn in growth, bond markets in the US are likely to sell off and that would be expected to put upward pressure on bond yields, not only in the US, but also in the UK due to a correlation between US treasuries and UK gilts; at various times this correlation has been strong but at other times weak. However, forecasting the timing of this, and how strong the correlation is likely to be, is very difficult to forecast with any degree of confidence. Changes in UK Bank Rate will also impact on gilt yields.

One potential danger that may be lurking in investor minds is that Japan has become mired in a twenty-year bog of failing to get economic growth and inflation up off the floor, despite a combination of massive monetary and fiscal stimulus by both the central bank and government. Investors could be fretting that this condition might become contagious to other western economies.

Another danger is that unconventional monetary policy post 2008, (ultra-low interest rates plus quantitative easing), may end up doing more harm than good through prolonged use. Low interest rates have encouraged a debt-fuelled boom that now makes it harder for central banks to raise interest rates. Negative interest rates could damage the profitability of commercial banks and so impair their ability to lend and / or push them into riskier lending. Banks could also end up holding large amounts of their government's bonds and so create a potential doom loop. (A doom loop would occur where the credit rating of the debt of a nation was downgraded which would cause bond prices to fall, causing losses on debt portfolios held by banks and insurers, so reducing their capital and forcing them to sell bonds – which, in turn, would cause further falls in their prices etc.). In addition, the financial viability of pension funds could be damaged by low yields on holdings of bonds.

The overall longer run future trend is for gilt yields, and consequently PWLB rates, to rise, albeit gently. From time to time, gilt yields, and therefore PWLB rates, can be subject to exceptional levels of volatility due to geo-political, sovereign debt crisis, emerging market developments and sharp changes in investor sentiment. Such volatility could occur at any time during the forecast period.

In addition, PWLB rates are subject to ad hoc decisions by **H.M. Treasury** to change the margin over gilt yields charged in PWLB rates: such changes could be up or down. It is not clear that if gilt yields were to rise back up again by over 100bps within the next year or so, whether H M Treasury would remove the extra 100 bps margin implemented on 9.10.19.

Economic and interest rate forecasting remains difficult with so many influences weighing on UK gilt yields and PWLB rates. The above forecasts, (and MPC decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data and developments in financial markets transpire over the next year. Geopolitical developments, especially in the EU, could also have a major impact. Forecasts for average investment earnings beyond the three-year time horizon will be heavily dependent on economic and political developments.

3.4 Investment and borrowing rates

- In Investment returns are likely to remain low during 2020/21 with little increase in the following two years. However, if major progress was made with an agreed Brexit, then there is upside potential for earnings.
- Borrowing interest rates were on a major falling trend during the first half of 2019-20 but then jumped up by 100 bps on 9.10.19. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served local authorities well over the last few years. However, the unexpected increase of 100 bps in PWLB rates requires a major rethink of local authority treasury management strategy and risk management. Now that the gap between longer term borrowing rates and investment rates has materially widened, and in the long term Bank Rate is not expected to rise above 2.5%.
- There will remain a cost of carry, (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns), to any new short or medium-term borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances as this position will, most likely, incur a revenue cost.

3.5 Borrowing strategy

As a result The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position overall. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt. Instead cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is still an issue that needs to be considered.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2020/21 treasury operations. The Council will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances both internally and externally.

When the Council invests in commercial property it is likely that this will be funded by external borrowing in the long term. Although in the short to medium term the Council is able to temporarily utilise its cash balances as a short to medium term alternative to external borrowing i.e. internally borrow. This is considered to be an effective strategy at present as:

- It enables the Council to avoid significant external borrowing costs in the short to medium term (i.e. making it possible to avoid net interest payments); and
- It mitigates the risks associated with investing cash.

3.6 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

3.7 Debt rescheduling

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify whether there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

However, rescheduling of current borrowing in our debt portfolio is unlikely to occur as the 100 bps increase in PWLB rates only applied to new borrowing rates and not to premature debt repayment rates.

The Council currently has one long term market debt which matures in 2024 and it carries a current interest rate of 11.625%. The cost of replacing this debt is prohibitive and this position is unlikely to change in the next three years.

The £79.19m of HRA debt is at fixed interest rates and the twenty four loans are repayable from 2024 to 2061. Their maturity dates are set to match income and expenditure levels in the HRA Business Plan and they will be reviewed in line with that plan. However, the primary objective of the plan over the next few years is to invest in the Council's housing stock and this position is not expected to change in the near future. Therefore these debts are unlikely to be rescheduled over the next three years. All rescheduling will be reported to the Cabinet at either the half year or full year report stage.

3.8 Municipal Bond Agency

It is possible that the Municipal Bond Agency will be offering loans to local authorities in the future. The Agency hopes that the borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB). This Authority may make use of this new source of borrowing as and when appropriate.

4. ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

4.1 Investment policy – management of risk

The MHCLG and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely with financial investments, (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments, essentially the purchase of income yielding assets, are covered in the Capital Strategy, (a separate report).

The Council's investment policy has regard to the following: -

- MHCLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance")
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 ("the Code")
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018
- The Council's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield, (return).

The above guidance from the MHCLG and CIPFA places a high priority on the management of risk. This authority has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

1. Minimum acceptable **credit criteria** are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short term and long-term ratings.
2. **Other information:** ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing

such as “**credit default swaps**” and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.

3. **Other information sources** used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.
4. This authority has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two lists in appendix 12B (3) under the categories of ‘specified’ and ‘non-specified’ investments.
 - **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year.
 - **Non-specified investments** are those with less high credit quality, may be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex instruments which require greater consideration by members and officers before being authorised for use.
5. **Non-specified investments limit.** The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments are revised from £25m to a total of £30m, (see paragraph 4.3).
6. **Lending limits**, (amounts and maturity), for each counterparty will be set through applying the matrix table in paragraph 4.2.
7. **Transaction limits** are set for each type of investment in 4.2.
8. This authority will set a limit for the amount of its investments which are invested for **longer than 365 days**, (see paragraph 4.4).
9. Investments will only be placed with counterparties from countries with a specified minimum **sovereign rating**, (see paragraph 4.3).
10. This authority has engaged **external consultants**, (see paragraph 1.5), to provide expert advice on how to optimise an appropriate balance of security, liquidity and yield, given the risk appetite of this authority in the context of the expected level of cash balances and need for liquidity throughout the year.
11. All investments will be denominated in **sterling**.
12. As a result of the change in accounting standards for 2018/19 under IFRS 9, this authority will consider the implications of investment instruments which could result in an adverse movement in the value of the amount invested and resultant charges at the end of the year to the General Fund. (In November 2018, the MHCLG concluded a consultation for a temporary override to allow English local authorities time to adjust their portfolio of all pooled investments by announcing a statutory override to delay implementation of IFRS 9 for five years commencing from 1.4.18.)

However, this authority will also pursue **value for money** in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance, (see paragraph 4.5). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

Changes in risk management policy from last year.

The above criteria has changed from last year due to a new investment Strategy.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed in appendix 12B (3) under the 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments categories. Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council's treasury management practices.

4.2 Creditworthiness policy

This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Link Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit Watches and credit Outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

Dark pink	Up to 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25
Light pink	Up to 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5
Purple	Up to 2 years
Blue	Up to 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
Orange	Up to 1 year
Red	Up to 6 months
Green	Up to 100 days
No colour	not to be used

The Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information other than just primary ratings. Furthermore, by using a risk weighted scoring system, it does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are

marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances, consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored weekly. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a daily basis via its Passport website, provided exclusively to it by Link Asset Services. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

UK banks – ring fencing

The largest UK banks, (those with more than £25bn of retail / Small and Medium-sized Enterprise (SME) deposits), are required, by UK law, to separate core retail banking services from their investment and international banking activities by 1st January 2019. This is known as "ring-fencing". Whilst smaller banks with less than £25bn in deposits are exempt, they can choose to opt up. Several banks are very close to the threshold already and so may come into scope in the future regardless.

Ring-fencing is a regulatory initiative created in response to the global financial crisis. It mandates the separation of retail and SME deposits from investment banking, in order to improve the resilience and resolvability of banks by changing their structure. In general, simpler, activities offered from within a ring-fenced bank, (RFB), will be focused on lower risk, day-to-day core transactions, whilst more complex and "riskier" activities are required to be housed in a separate entity, a non-ring-fenced bank, (NRFB). This is intended to ensure that an entity's core activities are not adversely affected by the acts or omissions of other members of its group.

While the structure of the banks included within this process may have changed, the fundamentals of credit assessment have not. The Council will continue to assess the new-formed entities in the same way that it does others and those with sufficiently high ratings, (and any other metrics considered), will be considered for investment purposes.

4.3 Country limits

The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA- from Fitch, other than the UK where the Council has set no limit. The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in Appendix 12B (4). This list will be added to, or deducted from by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

4.4 Investment strategy

In-house funds - Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months). Greater returns are usually obtainable by investing for longer periods. While most cash balances are required in order to manage the ups and downs of cash flow (amend as appropriate), where cash sums can be identified that could be invested for longer periods, the value to be obtained from longer term investments will be carefully assessed.

- If it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to rise significantly within the time horizon being considered, then consideration will be given to keeping most investments as being short term or variable.
- Conversely, if it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to fall within that time period, consideration will be given to locking in higher rates currently obtainable, for longer periods.

Investment returns expectations.

On the assumption that the UK and EU agree a Brexit deal by the end of 2019 or soon after, then Bank Rate is forecast to increase only slowly over the next few years to reach 1.25% by quarter 1 2022. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:

- Q1 2021 0.75%
- Q1 2022 1.00%
- Q1 2023 1.25%

The suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to about three months during each financial year are as follows:

2019/20	0.75%
2020/21	1.00%
2021/22	1.00%
2022/23	1.50%
2023/24	1.50%
2024/25	1.75%
Later years	2.25%

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably to the downside due to the weight of all the uncertainties over Brexit, as well as a softening global economic picture.
- The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates are broadly similarly to the downside.

- In the event that a Brexit deal is agreed with the EU and approved by Parliament, the balance of risks to economic growth and to increases in Bank Rate is likely to change to the upside.

Additionally the Council currently has loans to other Local Authorities and has invested in two property funds in 2018/19 following a selection process assisted by our Treasury Advisors Link. Both of these investment types are for periods of greater than 365 days and it is anticipated that returns on investments will be above the rates shown for the proportion of funding invested for these longer periods. Potential sums to be invested in this way are given below and the current snapshot of investments held for over 365 days is shown in Appendix 12B (6).

Investment treasury indicator and limit - total principal funds invested for greater than 365 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

Maximum principal sums invested > 365 days			
£m	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Principal sums invested > 365 days	£25m	£30m	£30m

4.5. Investment risk benchmarking

This Council will use an investment benchmark to assess the investment performance of its investment portfolio. For cash investments this will be the 3 month London Interbank Bid Rate (LIBID) which matches the weighted average time period of our current cash investments. Should the Council invest in Property Funds an appropriate additional benchmark will be added to measure the performance of these investments. This will be reported in the next available treasury report to Members.

4.6 End of year investment report

At the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

APPENDICES FOR APPENDIX 12B

- B (1). Economic Background
- B (2). Minimum Revenue Provision Policy
- B (3). Treasury management practice 1 – credit and counterparty risk management
- B (4). Approved Countries for Investment
- B (5). Approved Brokers for investments
- B (6). Current Investments as at 6th January 2020
- B (7). Treasury management scheme of delegation
- B (8). The treasury management role of the section 151 officer

ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

UK. Brexit. 2019 has been a year of upheaval on the political front as Theresa May resigned as Prime Minister to be replaced by Boris Johnson on a platform of the UK leaving the EU on 31 October, with or without a deal. However, in September, the Supreme Court overturned his proroguing of Parliament and Parliament carried a bill to delay **Brexit** until 31 January 2020 if there is no deal by 31 October. MPs also voted down holding a general election before 31 October, though one could happen before the end of 2019. Despite Johnson agreeing a deal with the EU in mid October, so far, there has been no majority of MPs for any one option to move forward on enabling Brexit to be implemented. At the time of writing (25.10.19), the whole Brexit situation is highly fluid and could change radically by the day. Given these circumstances and the possibility that there could be an imminent general election, any interest rate forecasts are subject to material change as the situation evolves. If Parliament fully approves the withdrawal bill, then it is possible that growth could recover relatively quickly. The MPC could then need to address the issue of whether to raise Bank Rate at some point in the coming year when there is little slack left in the labour market that could cause wage inflation to accelerate; this would then feed through into general inflation. On the other hand, if there was a no deal Brexit and there was a significant level of disruption to the economy, then growth could weaken even further than currently: the MPC would then be likely to cut Bank Rate in order to support growth. However, with Bank Rate still only at 0.75%, the MPC has relatively little room to make a big impact and it would probably suggest that it would be up to the Chancellor to provide help to support growth by way of a fiscal boost by e.g. tax cuts, increases in the annual expenditure budgets of government departments and services and expenditure on infrastructure projects, to boost the economy. The Government has already made moves in this direction.

The first half of 2019 saw UK **economic growth** falling to -0.2% in quarter 2 as Brexit uncertainty took a toll. In its Inflation Report of 1 August, the Bank of England was notably downbeat about the outlook for both the UK and major world economies. The **MPC** meeting of 19 September reemphasised their concern about the downturn in world growth and also expressed concern that prolonged Brexit uncertainty would contribute to a build-up of spare capacity in the UK economy, especially in the context of a downturn in world growth. This mirrored investor concerns around the world which are now expecting a significant downturn or possibly even a recession in some major developed economies. It was therefore no surprise that the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) left Bank Rate unchanged at 0.75% throughout 2019, so far, and is expected to hold off on changes until there is some clarity on what is going to happen over Brexit. However, it is also worth noting that since Boris Johnson became Prime Minister, the government has made significant statements on various spending commitments and a relaxation in the austerity programme. This will provide some support to the economy and, conversely, take some pressure off the MPC to cut Bank Rate to support growth.

As for **inflation** itself, CPI has been hovering around the Bank of England's target of 2% during 2019, but fell to 1.7% in August and September. It is likely to remain close to 2% over the next two years and so it does not pose any immediate concern to the MPC at the current time. However, if there was a no deal Brexit, inflation could rise towards 4%, primarily because of imported inflation on the back of a weakening pound.

With regard to the **labour market**, despite the contraction in quarterly GDP growth of -0.2% q/q, (+1.3% y/y), in quarter 2, employment continued to rise, but at only a muted rate of 31,000 in the three months to July after having risen by no less than 115,000 in quarter 2 itself. However, in the three months to August, employment swung into negative with a fall of 56,000, the first fall for two years. Unemployment duly rose from a 44 year low of 3.8% on the Independent Labour Organisation measure in July to 3.9%. Wage inflation also edged down slightly from a high point of 3.9% to 3.8% in August, (3 month average regular pay, excluding bonuses). This meant that in real terms, (i.e. wage rates higher than CPI inflation), earnings grew by about 2.1%. As the UK economy is very much services sector driven, an increase in household spending power is likely to feed through into providing some support to the overall rate of economic growth in the coming months. The quarter 2 GDP statistics also included a revision of the savings ratio from 4.1% to 6.4% which provides reassurance that consumers' balance sheets are not over stretched and so will be able to support growth going forward. This would then mean that the MPC will need to consider carefully at what point to take action to raise Bank Rate if there is an agreed Brexit deal, as the recent pick-up in wage costs is consistent with a rise in core services inflation to more than 4% in 2020.

In the **political arena**, if there is a general election soon, this could result in a potential loosening of monetary policy and therefore medium to longer dated gilt yields could rise on the expectation of a weak pound and concerns around inflation picking up although, conversely, a weak international backdrop could provide further support for low yielding government bonds and gilts.

USA. President Trump's massive easing of fiscal policy in 2018 fuelled a temporary boost in consumption in that year which generated an upturn in the rate of growth to a robust 2.9% y/y. **Growth** in 2019 has been falling back after a strong start in quarter 1 at 3.1%, (annualised rate), to 2.0% in quarter 2. Quarter 3 is expected to fall further. The strong growth in employment numbers during 2018 reversed into a falling trend during 2019, indicating that the economy is cooling, while inflationary pressures are also weakening.

The Fed finished its series of increases in rates to 2.25 – 2.50% in December 2018. In July 2019, it cut rates by 0.25% as a 'midterm adjustment' but flagged up that this was not intended to be seen as the start of a series of cuts to ward off a downturn in growth. It also ended its programme of quantitative tightening in August, (reducing its holdings of treasuries etc). It then cut rates again in September to 1.75% - 2.00% and is thought likely to cut another 25 bps in December. At its September meeting it also said it was going to **start buying Treasuries again**, although this was not to be seen as a resumption of quantitative easing but rather an exercise to relieve liquidity pressures in the repo market. Despite those protestations, this still means that the Fed is again expanding its balance sheet holdings of government debt. In the first month, it will buy \$60bn, whereas it had been reducing its balance sheet by \$50bn per month during 2019. As it will be buying only short-term (under 12 months) Treasury bills, it is technically correct that this is not quantitative easing (which is purchase of long term debt).

Investor confidence has been badly rattled by the progressive ramping up of increases in tariffs President Trump has made on Chinese imports and China has responded with increases in tariffs on American imports. This **trade war** is seen as depressing US, Chinese and world growth. In the EU, it is also particularly impacting Germany

as exports of goods and services are equivalent to 46% of total GDP. It will also impact developing countries dependent on exporting commodities to China.

EUROZONE. Growth has been slowing from +1.8 % during 2018 to around half of that in 2019. Growth was +0.4% q/q (+1.2% y/y) in quarter 1 and then fell to +0.2% q/q (+1.0% y/y) in quarter 2; there appears to be little upside potential to the growth rate in the rest of 2019. German GDP fell by -0.1% in quarter 2; industrial production was down 4% y/y in June with car production down 10% y/y. Germany would be particularly vulnerable to a no deal Brexit depressing exports further and if President Trump imposes tariffs on EU produced cars.

The European Central Bank (ECB) ended its programme of quantitative easing purchases of debt in December 2018, which then meant that the central banks in the US, UK and EU had all ended the phase of post financial crisis expansion of liquidity supporting world financial markets by quantitative easing purchases of debt. However, the downturn in EZ growth in the second half of 2018 and into 2019, together with inflation falling well under the upper limit of its target range of 0 to 2%, (but it aims to keep it near to 2%), has prompted the ECB to take new measures to stimulate growth. At its March meeting it said that it expected to leave interest rates at their present levels “at least through the end of 2019”, but that was of little help to boosting growth in the near term. Consequently, it announced a **third round of TLTROs**; this provides banks with cheap borrowing every three months from September 2019 until March 2021 which means that, although they will have only a two-year maturity, the Bank was making funds available until 2023, two years later than under its previous policy. As with the last round, the new TLTROs will include an incentive to encourage bank lending, and they will be capped at 30% of a bank’s eligible loans. However, since then, the downturn in EZ and world growth has gathered momentum; at its meeting on 12 September, it cut its deposit rate further into negative territory, from -0.4% to -0.5%, and announced a **resumption of quantitative easing purchases of debt**; (at its October meeting it said this would start in November at €20bn per month - a relatively small amount compared to the previous buying programme). It also increased the maturity of the third round of TLTROs from two to three years. However, it is doubtful whether this loosening of monetary policy will have much impact on growth and, unsurprisingly, the ECB stated that governments will need to help stimulate growth by ‘growth friendly’ fiscal policy.

On the political front, Austria, Spain and Italy have been in the throes of **forming coalition governments** with some unlikely combinations of parties i.e. this raises questions around their likely endurance. The latest results of two German state elections will put further pressure on the frail German CDU/SDP coalition government.

CHINA. Economic growth has been weakening over successive years, despite repeated rounds of central bank stimulus; medium term risks are increasing. Major progress still needs to be made to eliminate excess industrial capacity and the stock of unsold property, and to address the level of non-performing loans in the banking and shadow banking systems. In addition, there still needs to be a greater switch from investment in industrial capacity, property construction and infrastructure to consumer goods production.

JAPAN - has been struggling to stimulate consistent significant GDP growth and to get inflation up to its target of 2%, despite huge monetary and fiscal stimulus. It is also making little progress on fundamental reform of the economy.

WORLD GROWTH. Until recent years, world growth has been boosted by increasing globalisation i.e. countries specialising in producing goods and commodities in which they have an economic advantage and which they then trade with the rest of the world. This has boosted worldwide productivity and growth, and, by lowering costs, has also depressed inflation. However, the rise of China as an economic superpower over the last thirty years, which now accounts for nearly 20% of total world GDP, has unbalanced the world economy. The Chinese government has targeted achieving major world positions in specific key sectors and products, especially high tech areas and production of rare earth minerals used in high tech products. It is achieving this by massive financial support (i.e. subsidies) to state owned firms, government directions to other firms, technology theft, restrictions on market access by foreign firms and informal targets for the domestic market share of Chinese producers in the selected sectors. This is regarded as being unfair competition that is putting western firms at an unfair disadvantage or even putting some out of business. It is also regarded with suspicion on the political front as China is an authoritarian country that is not averse to using economic and military power for political advantage. The current trade war between the US and China therefore needs to be seen against that backdrop. It is, therefore, likely that we are heading into a period where there will be a **reversal of world globalisation and a decoupling of western countries** from dependence on China to supply products. This is likely to produce a backdrop in the coming years of weak global growth and so weak inflation. Central banks are, therefore, likely to come under more pressure to support growth by looser monetary policy measures and this will militate against central banks increasing interest rates.

The trade war between the US and China is a major concern to **financial markets** due to the synchronised general weakening of growth in the major economies of the world, compounded by fears that there could even be a recession looming up in the US, though this is probably overblown. These concerns resulted in **government bond yields** in the developed world falling significantly during 2019. If there were a major worldwide downturn in growth, central banks in most of the major economies will have limited ammunition available, in terms of monetary policy measures, when rates are already very low in most countries, (apart from the US). There are also concerns about how much distortion of financial markets has already occurred with the current levels of quantitative easing purchases of debt by central banks. The latest PMI survey statistics of economic health for the US, UK, EU and China have all been predicting a downturn in growth; this confirms investor sentiment that the outlook for growth during the year ahead is weak.

INTEREST RATE FORECASTS

The interest rate forecasts provided by Link Asset Services in paragraph 3.3 are **predicated on an assumption of an agreement being reached on Brexit between the UK and the EU.** On this basis, while GDP growth is likely to be subdued in 2019 due to all the uncertainties around Brexit depressing consumer and business confidence, an agreement is likely to lead to a boost to the rate of growth in subsequent years which could, in turn, increase inflationary pressures in the economy and so cause the Bank of England to resume a series of gentle increases in Bank Rate. Just how fast, and how far, those increases will occur and rise to, will be data dependent. The forecasts in this report assume a modest recovery in the rate and timing of stronger growth and in the corresponding response by the Bank in raising rates.

- In the event of an **orderly non-agreement exit**, it is likely that the Bank of England would take action to cut Bank Rate from 0.75% in order to help

economic growth deal with the adverse effects of this situation. This is also likely to cause short to medium term gilt yields to fall.

- If there was a **disorderly Brexit**, then any cut in Bank Rate would be likely to last for a longer period and also depress short and medium gilt yields correspondingly. Quantitative easing could also be restarted by the Bank of England. It is also possible that the government could act to protect economic growth by implementing fiscal stimulus.

However, there would appear to be a majority consensus in the Commons against any form of non-agreement exit so the chance of this occurring has diminished.

The balance of risks to the UK

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably to the downside due to the weight of all the uncertainties over Brexit, as well as a softening global economic picture.
- The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates are broadly similarly to the downside.
- In the event that a Brexit deal was agreed with the EU and approved by Parliament, the balance of risks to economic growth and to increases in Bank Rate is likely to change to the upside.

One risk that is both an upside and downside risk, is that all central banks are now working in very different economic conditions than before the 2008 financial crash as there has been a major increase in consumer and other debt due to the exceptionally low levels of borrowing rates that have prevailed since 2008. This means that the neutral rate of interest in an economy, (i.e. the rate that is neither expansionary nor deflationary), is difficult to determine definitively in this new environment, although central banks have made statements that they expect it to be much lower than before 2008. Central banks could therefore either over or under do increases in central interest rates.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates currently include:

- **Brexit** – if it were to cause significant economic disruption and a major downturn in the rate of growth.
- **Bank of England** takes action too quickly, or too far, over the next three years to raise Bank Rate and causes UK economic growth, and increases in inflation, to be weaker than we currently anticipate.
- A resurgence of the **Eurozone sovereign debt crisis**. In 2018, Italy was a major concern due to having a populist coalition government which made a lot of anti-austerity and anti-EU noise. However, in September 2019 there was a major change in the coalition governing Italy which has brought to power a much more EU friendly government; this has eased the pressure on Italian bonds. Only time will tell whether this new coalition based on an unlikely alliance of two very different parties will endure.
- Weak capitalisation of some **European banks**, particularly Italian banks.
- **German minority government**. In the German general election of September 2017, Angela Merkel's CDU party was left in a vulnerable minority position dependent on the fractious support of the SPD party, as a result of the rise in popularity of the anti-immigration AfD party. The SPD has done particularly badly in state elections since then which has raised a major question mark over continuing to support the CDU. Angela Merkel has stepped down from being the CDU party leader but she intends to remain as Chancellor until 2021.

- **Other minority EU governments.** Austria, Sweden, Spain, Portugal, Netherlands and Belgium also have vulnerable minority governments dependent on coalitions which could prove fragile.
- **Austria, the Czech Republic, Poland and Hungary** now form a strongly anti-immigration bloc within the EU. There has also been rising anti-immigration sentiment in Germany and France.
- In October 2019, the IMF issued a report on the World Economic Outlook which flagged up a synchronised slowdown in world growth. However, it also flagged up that there was **potential for a rerun of the 2008 financial crisis**, but this time centred on the huge debt binge accumulated by corporations during the decade of low interest rates. This now means that there are corporates who would be unable to cover basic interest costs on **some \$19trn of corporate debt in major western economies**, if world growth was to dip further than just a minor cooling. This debt is mainly held by the shadow banking sector i.e. pension funds, insurers, hedge funds, asset managers etc., who, when there is \$15trn of corporate and government debt now yielding negative interest rates, have been searching for higher returns in riskier assets. Much of this debt is only marginally above investment grade so any rating downgrade could force some holders into a fire sale, which would then depress prices further and so set off a spiral down. The IMF's answer is to suggest imposing higher capital charges on lending to corporates and for central banks to regulate the investment operations of the shadow banking sector. In October 2019, the deputy Governor of the Bank of England also flagged up the dangers of banks and the shadow banking sector lending to corporates, especially highly leveraged corporates, which had risen back up to near pre-2008 levels.
- **Geopolitical risks**, for example in North Korea, but also in Europe and the Middle East, which could lead to increasing safe haven flows.

Upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PwLB rates

- **Brexit** – if agreement was reached all round that removed all threats of economic and political disruption between the EU and the UK.
- The **Bank of England is too slow** in its pace and strength of increases in Bank Rate and, therefore, allows inflationary pressures to build up too strongly within the UK economy, which then necessitates a later rapid series of increases in Bank Rate faster than we currently expect.
- **UK inflation**, whether domestically generated or imported, returning to sustained significantly higher levels causing an increase in the inflation premium inherent to gilt yields.

APPENDIX B(2)

MINIMUM REVENUE PROVISION (MRP) POLICY STATEMENT

Under Regulation 27 of the 2003 Regulations, local authorities are required to charge MRP to their revenue account in each financial year. It should cover the gap between the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) and grant income and capital receipts.

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (MRP). It is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if desired (voluntary revenue provision - VRP). Any planned overpayments must be recorded clearly in the MRP statement.

MHCLG regulations have been issued which require the full Council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year; hence, the inclusion of this policy within the Capital Strategy.

The Council is required to calculate in each financial year a prudent provision to ensure that debt is repaid over a period that is reasonably commensurate with that over which the capital expenditure provides benefits (asset life). MRP cannot be negative, and can only be zero if the CFR is nil or negative, or if the charge is fully reduced by reversing previous overpayments. A maximum asset life of 40 years can be used, except freehold land which can be 50 years.

In calculating MRP the Council must base its calculation on methods set out within 'guidance' issued by the Secretary of State under section 21(1A) of the Local Government Act 2003. Under that section local authorities are required to 'have regard' to this guidance. The extant guidance distinguishes between borrowing incurred prior to 2008 and that incurred in subsequent years. The Council did not incur borrowing to finance assets prior to 2008 and hence its options on which its MRP calculation is based are restricted to Options 3. and 4. as set out in guidance, as below:

Option 3: Asset Life Method

Where capital expenditure on an asset is financed wholly or partly by borrowing or credit arrangements, MRP is to be determined by reference to the useful life of the asset.

There are two main methods by which this can be achieved, as described below.

(a) Equal instalment method

MRP is the amount given by the following formula:

$$\underline{A - B}$$

C

Where:

A is the amount of capital expenditure in respect of the asset financed by borrowing or credit arrangements.

B is the total provision made before the current financial year in respect of that expenditure.

C is the inclusive number of financial years from the current year to that in which the estimated useful life of the asset expires.

(b) Annuity method

MRP is the principal element for the year of the annuity required to repay over the asset's useful life the amount of capital expenditure financed by borrowing or credit arrangements. The authority should use an appropriate interest rate to calculate the amount. Adjustments to the calculation to take account of repayment by other methods during repayment period (e.g. by the application of capital receipts) should be made as necessary.

Option 4: Depreciation method

MRP is deemed to be equal to the provision required in accordance with depreciation accounting in respect of the asset on which expenditure has been financed by borrowing or credit arrangements. This should include any amount for impairment charged to the income and expenditure accounts.

Selected Charnwood calculation methods

- For assets with a life of 10 years or less, the straight line asset life method (Option 3 (a)) will be used
- For assets with a life in excess of 10 years, the annuity asset life method (Option 3 (b)) will be used.

The asset life method calculation requires estimated useful lives of assets to be input in to the calculations. These life periods will be determined by the Council's Chief Financial Officer (this is the Council's designated s151 Officer, a role currently held by the Strategic Director of Corporate Services), with regard to the statutory guidance and advice from professional valuers if required.

The Chief Financial Officer may also determine that if, in their opinion, the straight line method is more prudent for an asset with a life in excess of 10 years then this option may be used.

Generally, the straight line asset life method is considered appropriately prudent for assets with a relatively short term life (such as most types of plant and equipment). Assets purchased with a longer life will usually be land and buildings and hence an annuity asset life method will be used reflecting that such assets will in practice have a value at the end of the designated asset life. One aspect of the annuity asset life method is that it generates MRP payments that are relatively low in early years which then increase over the asset life. This structure of MRP is well-suited to commercial properties as the increase in MRP could be expected (broadly) to mirror increasing rental income created by periodic rent reviews.

The designated asset life of land and buildings, including commercial property for investment purposes, will usually be set at 40 years, in accordance with the guidance and in common with other local authorities.

In line with the extant guidance MRP will not be charged until the later of the year after capital expenditure is incurred or the year after the asset becomes operational

The calculation of MRP is also subject to the following details:

- An average asset life for each project will normally be used. There will not be separate MRP schedules for the components of a building (e.g. plant, roof etc.). Asset life will be determined by the Chief Finance Officer. A standard schedule of asset lives will generally be used (as stated in the Statement of Accounts accounting policies).
- MRP will commence in the year following the year in which capital expenditure financed from borrowing is incurred, except for single assets when expenditure is being financed from borrowing the MRP will be deferred until the year after the asset becomes operational.
- Other methods to provide for debt repayment may occasionally be used in individual cases where this is consistent with the statutory duty to be prudent, as justified by the circumstances of the case, at the discretion of the Chief Finance Officer.

APPENDIX B(3)

TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (TMP1) – CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK MANAGEMENT

SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS: All such investments will be sterling denominated, with **maturities up to maximum of 1 year** with the exception of other Local Authorities which have a maximum of 2 years and investments in Property Funds which are longer-term investments. All investments will meet the minimum ‘high’ quality criteria where applicable.

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made it will fall into one of the above categories. The criteria, time limits and monetary limits applying to institutions or investment vehicles are:

	Minimum credit criteria /	** Max % of total investments/ £ limit per institution	Max. maturity period
DMADF – UK Government	N/A	Unlimited	6 months
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating	Unlimited	12 months
UK Government Treasury bills	UK sovereign rating	Unlimited	12 months
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	AAA	Unlimited	6 months
Money Market Funds (CNAV, LVAV & VNAV)	AAA	£10m any one institution and £30m in total	Liquid
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5	AAA	£7m any one institution and £18m in total	Liquid
Local authorities	N/A	£5m any one institution and £20m in total	5 Years
Property Funds	N/A	£5m in total	20 Years
Term deposits with banks and building societies	Purple	£8m any one institution and £12m in total	Up to 12 months
	Blue	£7m any one institution and £12m in total	Up to 12 months
	Orange	£8m & (£12m for HSBC only) any one institution and £25m in total	Up to 12 months
Term deposits with banks and building societies	Red	£8m any one institution and £40m in total	Up to 6 Months
	Green	£6m any one institution and £20m in total	Up to 100 days
	No Colour	Nil	Not for use

Non Specified Investments: In light of the current and forecast low interest rates on specified investments the Council included the opportunity to invest in established Property Funds run by Fund Managers in a previous Treasury Management Strategy. These funds are longer term investments (typically 2-5 years) and give potentially higher returns than more liquid investment categories. Investments totaling £5m have been made in Property Funds since 2018. These investments will form part of the £30m limit for investments of over 365 days duration.

The Council will also add investments with Housing Associations of up to £5m, for up to a two year period. Prior to this the Council will undertake a separate due diligence exercise to ensure they have the minimum credit rating requirement and generally satisfy the Council's lending policies.

Accounting treatment of investments. The accounting treatment may differ from the underlying cash transactions arising from investment decisions made by this Council. To ensure that the Council is protected from any adverse revenue impact, which may arise from these differences, a review of the accounting implications of new transactions will be carried prior to any investment decision.

APPROVED COUNTRIES FOR INVESTMENTS @14/11/2019

This list is based on those countries which have sovereign ratings of AA- or higher (we show the lowest rating from Fitch, Moody's and S&P) and also, (except - at the time of writing - for Hong Kong, Norway and Luxembourg), have banks operating in sterling markets which have credit ratings of green or above in the Link Asset Services credit worthiness service.

AAA

- Australia
- Canada
- Denmark
- Germany
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

AA+

- Finland
- U.S.A.

AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France
- Hong Kong
- U.K.

AA-

- Belgium
- Qatar

List of Approved Brokers for Investments

The list below represents approved brokers that the Council will use to facilitate its investment strategy when necessary;

King and Shaxson

Tradition (UK) Ltd

RP Martin

Link Asset Services Agency Treasury Services

APPENDIX B (6)

Current Investments as at 6th January 2020 (for information only).

For illustrative purposes only the Council's investments as at 6th January 2020 are set out below. Please note that these investments alter on a daily basis.

Institution	Colour	Amount invested £m	Transaction Limit	Maturity Date	Time Limit
National Westminster Bank PLC	Blue	4,000	7,000	05/02/2020	12 Months
Lancashire County Council	N/A	2,000	5,000	30/01/2020	5 Years
Wyre Forest District Council	N/A	2,000	5,000	09/10/2020	5 Years
Liverpool City Council	N/A	2,000	5,000	14/10/2020	5 Years
Santander	Red	8,000	8,000	180 Day Notice	6 Months
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe	Red	3,000	8,000	13/01/2020	6 Months
Close Brothers	Red	5,000	8,000	24/04/2020	6 Months
Goldman Sachs international Bank	Red	2,500	2,500	35 Day Notice	6 Months
Goldman Sachs international Bank	Red	2,500	2,500	95 Day Notice	6 Months
Standard Chartered Bank	Red	3,000	8,000	183 Days	6 Months
Bank of Scotland	Orange	8,000	8,000	95 Days	12 Months
HSBC Bank	Orange	5,000	12,000	3 Months	12 Months
Money Market Funds	AAA Rated	13,840	18,000 in total	1 Day	12 Months
Property Funds	N/A	5,000	5,000 in total		240 Months
TOTAL		65,840			

TREASURY MANAGEMENT SCHEME OF DELEGATION

(i) Council

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy.

(ii) Cabinet

- approval of/amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy
- statement and treasury management practices;
- budget consideration and approval;
- approval of the division of responsibilities;
- receiving and reviewing monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;

(iii) Audit Committee/Overview Scrutiny Board

- reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the responsible body.

THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT ROLE OF THE SECTION 151 OFFICER

The S151 (responsible) officer

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- ensuring that due diligence has been carried out on all treasury and non-financial investments and is in accordance with the risk appetite of the authority
- ensure that the authority has appropriate legal powers to undertake expenditure on non- financial assets and their financing
- ensuring the proportionality of all investments so that the authority does not undertake a level of investing which exposes the authority to an excessive level of risk compared to its financial resources
- ensuring that an adequate governance process is in place for the approval, monitoring and ongoing risk management of all non-financial investments and long term liabilities
- ensuring that members are adequately informed and understand the risk exposures taken on by an authority
- ensuring that the authority has adequate expertise, either in house or externally provided, to carry out the above

APPENDIX C

Proposed amendments to the Financial Regulations and other areas of the Constitution

Proposed amendments to the Financial Regulations

Amendments are proposed to Section 16.5, 'Specific Financial Responsibilities' as set out below.

1. The heading, '**(b) Capital Expenditure**', is modified to read, '**(b) Capital Expenditure, excluding Capital Expenditure in respect of commercial property acquired for investment purposes**'

2. A new heading (c) is inserted into the Financial Regulations entitled, '**(c) Capital Expenditure in respect of commercial property acquired for investment purposes**'.

3. Text will be inserted under new heading (c) as follows:

Periodically the Council will invest in commercial property for the purposes of making a financial return. Such investments (or divestments) may require actions in a time frame that is not naturally enabled by usual Council decision making processes. The standard processes in respect of Capital Expenditure are therefore modified in respect of commercial properties that are acquired for investment purposes.

The Council approves the three-year Capital Plan. Funding for commercial property for investment purposes will be explicitly identifiable within the Capital Plan. Cabinet is able to make changes to the Capital Plan in respect of Capital Expenditure in respect of commercial property investment under the same conditions that apply to other capital expenditure.

Executive decisions relating to the investment and release of funding for the purchase of individual commercial properties, providing available funding exists within the extant Capital Plan, will be delegated to the Leader, or another Cabinet Member to whom the Leader may delegate authority.

Opportunity may allow the financially advantageous disposal of commercial properties. Approval of commercial property disposals will be delegated to the Leader or Cabinet Lead Member covering the finance portfolio, or other Cabinet Members to whom the Leader may delegate authority.

4. Subsequent headings under 16.5 will be re-referenced to reflect the insertion of new heading (c); hence, '**(c) Virement**' will become '**(d) Virement**', etc. References within the body of the text will also be amended to reflect the insertion of the new heading.

5. **'(f) Disposal of Assets'** will be re-referenced in line with the above and amended to read **'(g) Disposal of Assets excluding commercial property assets that were acquired for investment purposes.**

The above changes require formal approval by Council as referenced in Recommendation 4. of this report

=====

Governance processes – for information

Financial Regulations

To enable the above changes to the Financial Regulations it will be necessary to update the Financial Procedure rules (a matter delegated to the Section 151 officer). It is intended that a summary of the updates will be presented to Cabinet at a forthcoming Cabinet report which will provide more detail covering the evaluation of opportunities and (potential) acquisition and disposal of commercial properties.

Delegation of Executive Functions

The nature of commercial property acquisitions is such that delegated authority will be required to complete transactions in a timely manner. Therefore arrangements for delegated executive authority will need to be put in place to enable the Strategic Director of Corporate Services to complete acquisition and disposal transactions in respect of commercial properties for investment purposes where approved funding exists within the Capital Plan (for acquisitions), and subject to pre-agreed procedures being undertaken.

Section 9E of the Local Government Act 2000 (as amended) gives authority to the Leader of the Council to arrange for Executive functions to be discharged by:

- himself/herself;
- the Cabinet;
- another Member of the Cabinet;
- a committee of the Cabinet, or
- an officer of the Council

The Leader of the Council can amend the scheme of delegation relating to Executive functions at any time. These decisions can take immediate effect, and the Constitution requires that they are reported to the next meeting of the Council.

Key Decisions and Exemption from Call-in

A key decision is an executive decision which is likely:

- To result in the council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to our budget for the service or function to which the decision relates.

- To be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the borough

It is highly probable that any commercial property acquisition will exceed £150,000 in value, and therefore each purchase will be a key decision. However, due to the nature of the purchases being made (i.e. on the open market), it is unlikely that the required advance notice (28 days) for a key decision will be able to be given for each transaction, and therefore a General Exception notice will be published at least five days in advance of the proposed decision date.

In addition it would not be practical for the usual call-in arrangements for key decisions to be applied as any delay caused could result in a potential purchase falling through, and therefore it is proposed that the Chair of the Scrutiny Commission confer a blanket approval for decisions taken under this delegated authority to be exempted from Call-in under scrutiny procedure rule 11.9 within the Constitution. These arrangements will be put into place following approval of full Council and it is anticipated that the blanket approval from the Chair of the Scrutiny Commission be reviewed on an annual basis.

All cases of exemption from Call-in must be reported to the next relevant Council meeting, and this will ensure that Members are kept informed about each relevant acquisition that is made.